

# REACH

Re-designing access to **CH** for a wider participation in preservation,  
(re)use and management of European culture

Small Town Heritage : Mapping the Institutional Landscape

„SWOT“ as a data collection tool

Jiří Janáč

ÚSD FF UK, Praha



REACH project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme, under grant agreement No 769827.

Official Media Partner

DIGITAL **CULTURE**  
www.digitalmeetsculture.net

# What do we want to achieve?

## Small town heritage

- Representation of small town heritage : emergent outcome of interaction between various actors/stakeholders
- Inclusive : various types of actors involved, different motivations

## Make visible how

- Heritage in small towns is produced (good practices)
- These processes contribute to building resilient communities (participatory approaches, public engagement)

## Identify

- How the consensus among various stakeholders is produced concerning representations of small town heritage
- How the wider public is engaged/mobilized in the process
- Who is involved
  - Map the stakeholders , their activities and visions
    - institutional landscape of small town heritage
    - Interactions/overlaps in the web of stakeholders
    - across various hierarchical levels (UNESCO to Stařeč)
- Patterns – compare



# Three Levels of Critical Mapping

## 1) Objective (formal, descriptive, quantitative)

Mapping of activities and self-presentations (boasting)

- a. grants
- b. events
- c. self presentations (web, leaflets etc)
- d. impact (turn-out, media coverage)

## 2) Subjective (informal, qualitative)

- Self-evaluation **IN CONTEXT**
- Relations with community/ institutions/ heritage
- **HOW? quasiSWOT questionnaire**

- 1) **FOCUS ON INTERACTIONS BETWEEN VARIOUS STAKEHOLDERS AND THEIR CONCEPTUALIZATION OF HERITAGE**
- 2) **QUALITATIVE DATA – INSIGHT INTO THE INNER WORKING OF PRODUCTION OF SMALL TOWN „HERITAGE“**
- 3) **UNIVERSAL TOOL – DIVERSE STAKEHOLDERS/ACTORS**

## 3) Analytical

Our analytical interpretation of the data (comparisons, good practice, networking etc)



## Objectives (discourse on heritage)

- what do you consider to be a protection worth heritage (objects)?
- what kind of values it represents in your eyes? ( e.g. economic – tourism, cultural - identity politics, tradition, historical – art history perspective etc.)

## Motivations (actors)

- who are you (social background; origin; level of operation – local, regional, state-wide etc)
- where are you from ( members of local community, outsiders etc.)



# „SWOT“ - Activities

## Strenghts (activities)

- **what are your activities?**
  - **how do you operate (volunteering etc.)?**
  - **what financial source you mobilize?**
  - **who do you cooperate with (other organizations local/regional; NGOs; municipalities, state > different hierarchical levels)**

## Weaknesses

- **what kind of obstacles do you have to deal with? (both internal and external, from the perspective of the „optimal „functioning of your organization and achieving of your objectives)**



# „SWOT“ - Challenges

## Opportunities

- what kind of opportunities for your activities do you recognize? What future and development trajectory do you envision for your organization, and what external factors does it depend upon?
  - content-wise (new „objects “)
  - volume-wise (larger scope)

## Threats

- what kinds of threats you recognize for your NGO/town/ institution/ or heritage project in the future?
- who is your „competitor “? (not only in heritage business)
- towards whom or towards what you have to define the boundaries of your agency?



# „SWOT“ on Small Towns Heritage

## How to apply?

- Interviews, Questionnaire
- Adjusted to different scales (multi-tier)
  - Top-down (professionals): single „programme“, individual experiences
  - Bottom-up (practicioners): comprehensive
  - Public („users“) : tourist associations, bussiness associations

## How to evaluate?

- Identify patterns (especially in small town context) and good practices
- Identify „structural“ conflicts/challenges/solutions

## What is the added value?

- Small Town Environment : Heritage as a complex phenomenon
- Institutional Policy Overlaps/Gaps, Shared Goals (common denominants)
- Insight into practices and networks
- Analyse how the synergies between various actors (good practices) emerge



# „SWOT“ on Small Towns Heritage

## What we have learned (so far)

- **Complex affair**
- **very positive image of cooperation of professionals and practitioners (technocratic thinking still alive)**
- **Wider public not enough interested**
  - **Intangible more popular**







RE-designing access to Cultural Heritage for a wider participation in preservation, reuse and management of European culture



RE-designing access to Cultural Heritage for a wider participation in preservation, (re)use and management of European culture



RE-designing access to Cultural Heritage for a wider participation in preservation, (re)use and management of European culture

DIGITAL MEETS **CULTURE** .net  
[www.digitalmeetsculture.net](http://www.digitalmeetsculture.net)

RE-designing access to Cultural Heritage for a wider participation in preservation, (re)use and management of European culture

Official Media Partner

DIGITAL MEETS **CULTURE** .net  
[www.digitalmeetsculture.net](http://www.digitalmeetsculture.net)